

Examiners' Report

Principal Examiner Feedback

Janruary 2017

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level in History (WHI01)

Paper 1A: France in Revolution, 1774-99

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Pearson Education Ltd 2017

Introduction

WHI01 is a new International Advanced Subsidiary examination that is part of the new iA Level History qualification, WHI01 (unit 1) is a Depth Study with Interpretations, and comprises four options; 1A France in Revolution 1774-99, 1B Russia in Revolution 1881-1917, 1C Germany 1918-45, and 1D Britain 1964-90. The assessment criteria for all the options, and questions are AO1 and AO3, and all the options and questions, are covered by a generic mark scheme, based on Level descriptors.

It is important that centres take on board some generic comments which are based on the marking of this summer's cohort, and consider and apply these when preparing candidates for future examinations in these options.

- WHI01 is both a study in depth and a study of interpretations, and it is necessary for candidates to do both, at all levels in the mark scheme, in order to score marks. Ignoring the stated view in the question, and merely writing information that may be relevant to the general focus of the question does not fully meet the criteria for Level 1, and consequently none of the other levels. Even at Level 1 the mark scheme expects simple or generalised consideration of the stated view in the question. Some candidates paid very little attention to the stated view (ignoring it completely or sometimes only referring to it in the conclusion) and narrated or described other information that was either relevant or not to the actual question.
- Across all of the options, in candidate responses, there was very little evidence seen of planning. As the examination is two hours long, implying that candidates might divide that time equally between the two essays they choose, it would seem sensible to devote some time (possibly no more than 10 minutes per question) to planning the structure of the answer to each question. That would hopefully ensure that when the answer is written the stated view is considered (Level 2, 3 and 4 all require, to varying degrees, understanding, analysis and exploration of the given view) and then other factors/views can follow, which will then allow the candidate to establish some criteria by which they are able to consider the importance, or not, of the given view and make some judgements. Those candidates who planned (this appeared on their examination script before they answered the question) invariably scored better than candidates who had not planned. Planned answers tended to score at the top of Level 3 and into, and including the top of Level 4, whereas unplanned answers meandered and judgements tended to be stated, rather than supported by valid criteria, and often achieved marks at the Level 2 and Level 3 boundary or below.
- The need to stress to candidates that in examination situations they must read the question carefully, and not take the question as an opportunity to write all they know about the topic, or answer a question they would have preferred that is near to the actual question, but not the actual question.
- There was some evidence of candidates running out of time, but they were very few. Impressing the need to plan essays in the examination is surely the remedy to this problem.

Option 1A France in Revolution 1774-99

- Question 1 proved to be the most popular, followed by question 2, question 3 and question 4.
- In question 1 many candidates were able to consider corruption at court and it's impact on France, and then discuss other problems before making a judgement about the main reason for discontent.
- The example below scored a mid-Level 3. The stated view is considered, other problems are also considered and judged against the stated view and a judgement is made. Knowledge is deployed but in places it lacks range and depth.

(This page is for your first answer)..... Allhough I do agnee that the corruption in the court is a valid ma cause for discontent the most significant masses. In my opinion - which could further be backed up by the post revisionist view- the issues with the faxotion system, the socio econonic gap within and beforeen the Three Estates; along with the France's participation in different wars that come with a negative outcome upon the country-definitely were reasons for discontent To add to this; the rulers' conservative character also was a reason for the people's wish the change the way the country is run-hence the Revolution beginning in 1789. To begin with, many historians inight agree that the most important reason for disontent was the & socio-econonic inequalities and gap between and within one Estates

of the poorer (This page is for your first answer) For staders, many 1 dargy for in had a growing resentment the First Estate were bowards the walthier bishops and priests. This was due to the fact that the saw it as completely unacceptable to be performing absenteein and men pluriodism when there was hundrads of people; unemployed and dying af starvation on the screets. In the Second Estate - them were a handful noble-men unhappy with the mene idea of being able to buy a state noble-ship statusgiven the fact was they weren't actually born lite that Estate. Also, they were yen discontent with the fact that they had no say in the way the country is van- thus forging them the openly wishing for a more active role in the government In addition, for centuries the largest/most populary Estate- with hold 89% of the entirety of the country population affered the most. In Altrangu the first two Estates had privilege such as tax exemptions and in the second Estates casethe exemption in the corner; the Third Estate had no such privileges. They were troubled with the nost burdensome bases - such as the faille and on top of was had to the Taille to the Church. All of this

(This page is for your first answer) un foir treatment upset many from Midelle closs citizens in the bourgeioiste group to poor beggan and familhed san culottes This cand a long-term discontent which kept on gnowing they finally decided to stark uniting and bring change in 1989. Another important cause of discontent is was the taxation system Not only did millions of people suffer with the payment troubles one and heavy lares; but they country also had our extremely corrupt collecting and messy way af The government hired and essented there Farmer's General to collect the people's baxes with the small price of being allowed to keep a percentage of the income. This led to a high level of corruption and water as they would be people an unboarably amount a fax payment - which hoightoned the people's discentent, fruitration and anger. back to the previous point; democrate Zinhed the Einst Estate your had privilege, such as the payment of the light tax called the

(This page is for your first answer) clos gratuit as well as the fast mene collectors of the Tithes. This icreated a wome of discontent to awarge from the poorer estates - which further served as a craise of discontent in the majority of France. Furthermone, the as the provenisionist view might agree - the political struggles pulling France back one to her constant involvement in wars definitely was a cause of mass diracutent amongst the people. Due to Francis involvement in wars such as the Seven Year war and America's war af hodependence; the country was throun into a pit of very heavy oubts that kept on growing as ministers such as Wecker continived borrowing to coner the costs of the wars. By 1789, France had a 112 million livres aleficit which definitely designations influenced the and alestabilised the French

Not only that, but France wasn't closing well in an agri altural aspect) part of wew as well. The people suffered

economy.

(This page is for your first answer) with \$ 500 source winter episodo and a semes of bad harveste. There was no food, the unemployment rete was sky thigh and the people couldn't cope with the high boxes. On top of that, the grievances and losses in the wars further pulled the French morale downwards. Food riots became extremely common as people could not comain their cargo infuriation and resentment towards the Ancien Regime. Allay there different problems furthered the feeling of discontent pring in France. Another point that should be mentioned is dynitery the presence of corruption et court. People une guite unhappy with Louis' lettres de cachet that could get comeon have their life taken away from them menely due to the kings command. Finally, the King and Queen's conservative and attribusty igurant reveries towards their people intgut have been one of the

cherry on top straw the for the (This page is for your first answer) people's heightened feeling of hatned, fact trait own king preferred to deal with family life than 80 run proved to one people jul how much he about them. Furthermone Marie's demeanor and gambling addiction was taken insult by the people of France This was - due to the fact that the country was drowning in debt and inflation whilst she simultaneously continued buying herself hew jevelry and paying back her dobbs. This was definitely which caused discontent in France up dilemma Lill 1789. In conclusion, I stand behind the port revisionist view by stating how although there were significant corres for discontent in combination of the social, political it was the and economic dilemmas that altogether influenced the events against the control old Ancien Regine in the years after 1789. From system and the social barration the afferent Estates in society- to gap between borrowing of loans and political instability En constant

- In question 2 candidates were able to discuss whether or not the Storming of the Bastille marked the beginning of the revolution, and judge it against other possible factors that signalled the beginning.
- In question 3 candidates saw the stated issue, the reform of law, as much broader than the question intended. Some included the constitution. The reform of law itself was the focus, which the National Assembly reforming many aspects of French law, and this should then have judged against many other action taken by the Assembly.
- In question 4 candidates were well versed factors that made the Directory unsuccessful, with some candidates considering aspects that were successful, before reaching a judgement on the stated issue.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom